

On the Order of Magnitude of Functions at Infinity

J. S. BYRNES

*Department of Mathematics, University of Massachusetts,
Boston, Massachusetts 02125, USA*

AND

O. SHISHA

*Department of Mathematics, University of Rhode Island,
Kingston, Rhode Island 02881, USA*

Received April 12, 1982

1. Our purpose is to relate, in quite a general setting, the order of magnitude of real functions $f(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ to their degree of approximation by piecewise polynomials interpolating them on some simple denumerable sets of points.

2. Let f be a real function on $[0, \infty)$, let k be a positive integer, and let h be a real function satisfying $h(0) = 0$, $h'(x) > 0$ and nonincreasing in $[0, \infty)$, and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = \infty$. We denote by $P_k(f, x; h) \equiv P_k(f)$ the function with domain $[0, \infty)$ which in each

$$I_n = [h(n-1), h(n)), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (1)$$

coincides with the polynomial of degree $\leq k$ interpolating f at the $k+1$ equally spaced points

$$x_j^{(n)} = h(n-1) + (d_n/k)j, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k, \quad (2)$$

where $d_n = h(n) - h(n-1)$ is the length of I_n . In particular, $P_1(f)$ is a polygonal function, interpolating f at $h(n)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. In the following theorem we relate the order of magnitude of $f(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ to that of our "degree of approximation"

$$\langle f \rangle_{k, \gamma} \equiv \sup_{x > \gamma} |f(x) - P_k(f, x; h)|$$

as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$.

Later we show that, in our theorem (in one direction), $P_k(f)$ can be replaced by *any* piecewise polynomial of degree $\leq k$ whose knots are $h(n)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, not necessarily one arising from interpolation.

3. THEOREM. Let $f^{(k+1)}$ exist and be ≥ 0 and nondecreasing (or ≤ 0 and nonincreasing) in $[0, \infty)$. Let g be a real function satisfying

$$g(0) > 0, \quad g'(x) > 0 \quad \text{on } [0, \infty). \tag{3}$$

$$x^k = O(g(x)) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty. \tag{4}$$

g'/g is nondecreasing in $[0, \infty)$ and absolutely continuous in each $[0, x]$, $0 < x < \infty$. (5)

There is a constant A such that, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$h'(n-1) \leq Ah'(n). \tag{6}$$

There are constants $B(\geq 0), C, D$ such that, for every $x \geq B$, there is a $t_x > x$ satisfying

$$g'(t_x)/g(t_x) \leq Cg'(x)/g(x), \quad g(t_x)/(t_x - x) \leq Dg'(x). \tag{7}$$

There is a constant E such that $\phi(y) \leq E\phi(x)$ whenever $0 \leq x < y$. (8)

Here

$$\phi(x) = [h'(h^{-1}(x))g'(x)]^{k+1} g^{-k}(x) \quad \text{on } [0, \infty). \tag{9}$$

Then

$$f(x) = O(g(x)) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{iff } \langle f \rangle_{k,\gamma} = O(\phi(\gamma)) \quad \text{as } \gamma \rightarrow \infty. \tag{10}$$

4. EXAMPLES. I. Let $h(x) \equiv \log(1+x), 0 < \alpha \leq k+1$, and $g(x) \equiv e^{\alpha x}$. In (7) and (8) one can take $B = 0, C = 1, D = e^\alpha/\alpha, t_x \equiv 1+x$, and $E = 1$. Then (10) gives

$$f(x) = O(e^{\alpha x}) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{iff } \langle f \rangle_{k,\gamma} = O(e^{(\alpha-k-1)\gamma}) \quad \text{as } \gamma \rightarrow \infty.$$

II. Let $h(x) \equiv \log \log(e+x), g(x) \equiv e^{e^x}$. In (7) and (8) one can take $t_x \equiv x + e^{-x}, C = e, D$ any number $> e, B$ a sufficiently large number, and $E = 1$. Here (10) reads

$$f(x) = O(e^{e^x}) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{iff } \langle f \rangle_{k,\gamma} = O(e^{-ke^\gamma}) \quad \text{as } \gamma \rightarrow \infty.$$

5. *Proof of the Theorem.* Assume that $f^{(k+1)}$ is ≥ 0 and nondecreasing in $[0, \infty)$ (otherwise, consider $-f$). Let

$$F(x) \equiv f(x) - \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{f^{(j)}(0)}{j!} x^j$$

so that $F(0) = F'(0) = \dots = F^{(k)}(0) = 0$ and $F^{(k+1)}(x) \equiv f^{(k+1)}(x)$. Also $F - P_k(F) = f - P_k(f)$. Furthermore, by (4), $f(x) = O(g(x))$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ iff $F(x) = O(g(x))$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that

$$f^{(j)}(0) = 0, j = 0, 1, \dots, k, \text{ and hence } f^{(j)}(x) \text{ is } \geq 0 \text{ and nondecreasing in } [0, \infty) \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \dots, k + 1. \tag{11}$$

Suppose now that M is a number such that

$$\langle f \rangle_{k,\gamma} \leq M\phi(\gamma) \quad \text{for all } \gamma \geq \text{some } \gamma_0 \geq 0. \tag{12}$$

Let $x \geq \gamma_0$. Define the integer $n (\geq 1)$ and the number \tilde{x} by

$$h(n-1) \leq x < h(n), \\ \tilde{x} = h(n) + (2k)^{-1}(h(n+1) - h(n)).$$

By the remainder theorem for Lagrange interpolation [1, p. 56] we have, using the notation (2), for some $\xi \in (h(n), h(n+1))$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\tilde{x}) - P_k(f, \tilde{x}; h)| &= \frac{f^{(k+1)}(\xi)}{(k+1)!} \prod_{j=0}^k |\tilde{x} - x_j^{(n+1)}| \\ &= \frac{f^{(k+1)}(\xi)}{(k+1)!} [h(n+1) - h(n)]^{k+1} \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2k-1)}{(2k)^{k+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (6), $h(n+1) - h(n) = \int_n^{n+1} h'(x) dx \geq h'(n+1) \geq A^{-2} h'(n-1)$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(k+1)}(x) \leq f^{(k+1)}(\xi) &\leq M_k |f(\tilde{x}) - P_k(f, \tilde{x}; h)| h'(n-1)^{-k-1} \\ &\leq M_k \langle f \rangle_{k,x} h'(n-1)^{-k-1} \end{aligned}$$

where $M_k = (k+1)!(2k)^{k+1} A^{2(k+1)} (1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2k-1))^{-1}$. By (12) and (9), $f^{(k+1)}(x) \leq MM_k \phi(x) h'(n-1)^{-k-1} \leq MM_k g'(x)^{k+1} g^{-k}(x)$. Thus, by (5), for some constant μ_k , $f^{(k+1)}(x) \leq \mu_k g'(x)^{k+1} g^{-k}(x)$ throughout $[0, \infty)$. Furthermore, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k+2$, we have on $[0, \infty)$,

$$f^{(k+2-j)}(x) \leq \mu_k g'(x)^{k+2-j} g^{j-k-1}(x). \tag{13}$$

This was just shown to hold for $j = 1$. Suppose it holds for some j , $1 \leq j \leq k + 1$. Then, by (13), on $[0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(k+1-j)}(x) &= \int_0^x f^{(k+2-j)}(t) dt \leq \mu_k \int_0^x g'(t) [g'(t)/g(t)]^{k+1-j} dt \\ &= \mu_k g(t) [g'(t)/g(t)]^{k+1-j} \Big|_0^x \\ &\quad - \mu_k \int_0^x g(t) \frac{d}{dt} [\{g'(t)/g(t)\}^{k+1-j}] dt \\ &\leq \mu_k g(x) [g'(x)/g(x)]^{k+1-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking, in (13), $j = k + 2$, we have by (11),

$$0 \leq f(x) \leq \mu_k g(x) \quad \text{throughout } [0, \infty),$$

as claimed in (10).

For the converse suppose that, for some constant J ,

$$0 \leq f(x) \leq Jg(x) \quad \text{throughout } [0, \infty).$$

For $j = 0, 1, \dots, k + 1$ and with B, C, D of (7),

$$f^{(j)}(x) \leq JC^{(j-1)/2} D^j g'(x)^j g^{1-j}(x) \quad \text{throughout } [B, \infty). \quad (14)$$

This is true for $j = 0$ and assuming its truth for some j , $0 \leq j \leq k$, we have by (11) and (7), for every $x \in [B, \infty)$ and a suitable $\theta \in (x, t_x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} JC^{(j-1)/2} D^j g'(t_x)^j g^{1-j}(t_x) &\geq f^{(j)}(t_x) - f^{(j)}(x) = (t_x - x) f^{(j+1)}(\theta) \\ &\geq (t_x - x) f^{(j+1)}(x), \\ f^{(j+1)}(x) &\leq JC^{(j-1)/2} D^j [g'(t_x)/g(t_x)]^j g(t_x)/(t_x - x) \\ &\leq JC^{j(j+1)/2} D^{j+1} g'(x)^{j+1} g^{-j}(x). \end{aligned}$$

With $J_k = JC^{k(k+1)/2} D^{k+1}$, (14) yields

$$f^{(k+1)}(x) \leq J_k [g'(x)/g(x)]^{k+1} g(x) \quad \text{throughout } [B, \infty)$$

and hence, by (3) and (5), for a suitable constant L ,

$$f^{(k+1)}(x) \leq L [g'(x)/g(x)]^{k+1} g(x) \quad \text{throughout } [0, \infty). \quad (15)$$

Let $0 \leq \gamma \leq x$. For a proper $n \geq 1$, $h(n-1) \leq x < h(n)$. Using again (2) and the above remainder theorem, we have, for some $\eta \in (h(n-1), h(n))$,

$$|f(x) - P_k(f, x; h)| = [f^{(k+1)}(\eta)/(k+1)!] \prod_{j=0}^k |x - x_j^{(n)}|. \tag{16}$$

For $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$, $|x - x_j^{(n)}| \leq h(n) - h(n-1) = \int_{n-1}^n h'(t) dt \leq Ah'(n)$ (by (6)). Setting $M = LA^{k+1}/(k+1)!$ we obtain from (16), (15), (5), (3), and (8),

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - P_k(f, x; h)| &\leq M \left[\frac{g'(\eta)}{g(\eta)} \right]^{k+1} g(\eta) h'(n)^{k+1} \\ &\leq M \left[\frac{g'(h(n))}{g(h(n))} \right]^{k+1} g(h(n)) h'(n)^{k+1} \\ &= M\phi(h(n)) \leq ME\phi(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\langle f \rangle_{k,\gamma} = O(\phi(\gamma))$ as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$.

6. COROLLARY. Assume the hypotheses of the Theorem. A necessary and sufficient condition for $f(x)$ to be $O(g(x))$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ is the existence of a real function $Q(x)$ with domain $[0, \infty)$, continuous there, which in each I_n of (1) coincides with some polynomial of degree $\leq k$ and such that

$$\sup_{x > \gamma} |f(x) - Q(x)| = O(\phi(\gamma))$$

as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Only sufficiency needs proof. Let μ be a number such that

$$\sup_{x > \gamma} |f(x) - Q(x)| \leq \mu\phi(\gamma) \quad \text{for all } \gamma \geq 0. \tag{17}$$

Let $t \geq \gamma \geq 0$ and set

$$R(x) \equiv P_k(f, x; h) - Q(x).$$

Then $t \in I_n$ for some $n \geq 1$ and, using (2),

$$R(t) = \sum_{j=0}^k R(x_j^{(n)}) \prod_{\substack{s=0 \\ s \neq j}}^k (t - x_s^{(n)}) / (x_j^{(n)} - x_s^{(n)}). \tag{18}$$

Let $0 \leq j \leq k$. If $x_j^{(n)} < \gamma$, then $h(n-1) < \gamma < h(n)$ and by (6), (5), and (3),

$$h'(n-1) \leq Ah'(n) \leq Ah'(h^{-1}(\gamma))$$

and

$$[g'(h(n-1))/g(h(n-1))]^{k+1} g(h(n-1)) < [g'(\gamma)/g(\gamma)]^{k+1} g(\gamma)$$

so that, by (17),

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x_j^{(n)}) - Q(x_j^{(n)})| &\leq \mu \phi(h(n-1)) \\ &= \mu [h'(n-1) g'(h(n-1))]^{k+1} g^{-k}(h(n-1)) \\ &\leq \mu A^{k+1} [h'(h^{-1}(\gamma)) g'(\gamma)]^{k+1} g^{-k}(\gamma) = \mu A^{k+1} \phi(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

If $x_j^{(n)} \geq \gamma$, then by (17), $|f(x_j^{(n)}) - Q(x_j^{(n)})| \leq \mu \phi(\gamma) \leq \mu A^{k+1} \phi(\gamma)$ as $A \geq 1$.

By (18),

$$|R(t)| \leq (k+1) \mu A^{k+1} \phi(\gamma) k^k$$

and hence by (17),

$$|f(t) - P_k(f, t; h)| \leq [1 + (k+1) A^{k+1} k^k] \mu \phi(\gamma).$$

Thus $\langle f \rangle_{k, \gamma} = O(\phi(\gamma))$ as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ and hence, by (10), $f(x) = O(g(x))$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

REFERENCES

1. P. J. DAVIS, "Interpolation and Approximation," Blaisdell, New York, 1963; Dover, New York, 1975.